## Approved For Release 2002/08/28: CIA-RDP80B01676R004100060006-7

**OGC Has Reviewed** 

7-5453

The Honorable	len ATI
The Honorable The Attorney General Washington 25, D. C.	
Dear Mr. Attorney General:  DGI F	11es
The issue presented in this letter is the following: Under existing legislation does the authority and responsibility to provide a headquarters building for the Central Intelligence Agency rest with the Director of Central Intelligence or the Administrator of General Services.	OGC FOIAB5

We had hoped that, in this work, we would benefit from the advice and assistance of the General Services Administration while retaining ultimate authority and responsibility, and we stand ready today, as we have in the past, to work out an arrangement with the General Services Administration along these lines.

Recently, however, we have obtained and studied a copy of a letter from the Administrator of General Services the Bureau of the Budget, dated August 26, 1955, copy enclosed, which states that if a decision is made contrary to their position that, as a matter of law, they have the responsibility for design and construction of the building, they believe it would be better to remove the General Services Administration from any further participation.

410260

In a conference which we had on 14 October with the Administrator of General Services and Mr. Percival F. Brundage, Deputy Director of	OGC	
the Bureau of the Budget, in an effort to find a practical solution to the problem, Mr. Mansure clearly reaffirmed the position stated in the above-mentioned letter.		
Sim <b>erel</b> y,		

.

Allen W. Dulles Director

Enclosures (2)
DCI
OGC: LRH: jeb
cc: DCI (2)
DD/S
Director of Logistics
Legislative Counsel
General Counsel

6

August 17 , 197

Noncrable Percy Reppegart Assistant Director Bureau of the Bulget Rashington 25, D. C.

The: Design and Construction of Control Inde (Lineaus Agency Sandouarters

lear Mr. Raypegort:

As you know, a difference of opinion exists between this Administration and the Central Intelligence Approx no to which agoney has responsibility for the design and construction of the CIA bandquarters building authorised by Section 401, Title IV, Public Law 101, 84th Congress, approved July 15, 1955.

That has authorized the Director of Central Intelligence 'to provide for a headquarters installation for the Central Intelligence Agency at a land cost of not to exceed \$1,000,000, and a construction cost of not to exceed \$5,000,000. USA was authorized to describe temperary Government buildings in the District of Columbia audivalued to exact to that relinquished by CIA on occupancy of the new bandquarters.

Section 500 of the above not further authorized proceeding with the facilities without regard to certain revised statutes relating to the expenditure of public funds for the acquisition of land and scatterers for the construction of buildings.

Funds for the preparation of shows and specifications and for other purposes as authorized by Title IV of F. L. 181 were appropriated to CIA by the Supplemental Appropriation Act, 1950, F. L. 217, Shith Congress, approved August 4, 1955.

The CIA people contend that the authorization and appropriation to them contravenes our authority and places in them Full and complete authority over and responsibility for the design and construction of the building. They say they intend to use our services in more or less as advisory especity.

It is our position that, as a matter of law, responsibility for design and construction of the building is in 66% by virtue of our preclusive authority in the field of construction of general purpose of its buildings derived from the Public Endidings Act of 1925, as encoded.

Consideration has been given as to shether strengtion in Section 503 of the above set, of the applicability of R.S. 379, as assemble, supports the position of CIA. Then statute reads as follow:

## Recordale Percy Resolutions

The messay shall be expended upon any public building metal after elected plane showing the tentative design and surresponds of each outling, together with conline description and desailed estimates of the cost thereof shall have been made by the Administrator of General Services (except them otherwise authorized by law) \* \* \* \*

Inclusion of the authorisation for the construction of the CIA building in P.L. 181, which is essentially an act to emborize contain construction at military, must and air force installations, appears to have been merely a matter of convenience. That the shows extention was not intended to have any applicability to the construction of the CIA building is clearly established by the Senate Consistes on Armed Services, Separt No. 5%, on H. R. 6829, later exected as Public law 181, shorein it is stated:

"Section XXI provides the authority to acquire lead on which to provide family bounday for military personnel. It also contains the customary language availing the application of certain sections of the Revised Statutes that impose unreasonable restrictions on the procedules of a military construction program under conditions prevailing today."

Purthermore, testimony during hearings proceeding enterteent of shore acts, shows that GGA versed with CIA in preparing the estimates upon which the legislation was beaut, and that the Senate Expensitions on Real Estate and Military Construction of the Consister on Armed Services recognized that the work should be perfected by a control construction agency properly equipped for the purpose and not by CIA. There was discussion as to whether the work would be done by the Corps of Engineers or GSA and it was at least implied that GGA would be expected to design and construct the building. See Consister Bearings on S. 1786 (B.R. 1889) at pages TS.

The authorizing law does not include an examption from the Act of May 25, 1926, W. Stat. 530, 631, as examined, W. J.S.C.A. 341, 342, inverse as the Public Buildings Set of 1927. Sections I sent 2 of this act road, respectively, in partment part as set on in Title 40, 9.8.C.A.:

To emable the Absinistrator of General Durines to provide suitable accommodations in the District of Calustis for the operative departments, and independent catabilitiments of the Government \* \*, and for courtbernment, post offices, immigration stations, customizenes, marine hospitals, quarantine stations, and other public buildings of the classes under the control of the Abstractor of General Services in the States, \* \* the is antherized and directed to acquire, by purchase, condensation, or otherwise, such sites and skiltiess to sites as he may term recessity, and to cause to be constructed thereon, and upon lends belonging to the Government conveniently located and available for the purpose (but exclusive of military and movel recorrelises), whe gaste and suitable

## Homoreticle Percy Burgespart

"(a) The work of preparing designs and other drawings, estimates, specifications, and avaiding of contracts, as well as the separation of the work \* \* \* shall be performed by the Administrator of General Services, except as otherwise provided in said sections."

The CIA beedquarters building will be a general purpose office building which will have a gross floor even of approximately 2,300,000 equare fact; becomend, error (?) floors, reinforced accurate frame; pile foundations; air conditioning; fluorescent lighting; sufficient, shops and inheratory areas, and onfotorio.

Since July 1, 1950, the effective Late of Recognization Planson 18 of 1950 (A Stat. 1270) 5 B.S.C. 1951-15, note) on have emplied the exiteria set forth in Section 2 of the Finn an determinative of the classes of "ether public buildings \* \* \* \* under the sectrol of the Administrator of General Services." Fithout question, the CIA has quarters, an empletion, would be an office building under our sectrol for the purposes of operation, maintenance, and contody as is true of the buildings presently accupied by CIA.

We want to call attention, specifically to the provise quoted from Section 1 of the Public Builtings Act of 1986 which regulars joint approval of the plane, etc., by the head of the agency to be located in a new builting and specifies a matter of law and as a condition properated in the exercise of our responsibilities under the Act. Even if this was not required by the law, it seems coincatly proper to us a matter of policy as it assumes compliance with all resource of a special nature of the agency initially designated to occur the marticular builting.

The authorization to CIA is merely "to provide" for a building, without execification of the member is void: it was to be provided. In view of the legislative bistory of the authoriting law and can express authority for each construction, the applicability of which was not exampled, we real there can be little doubt as to the soundness of our legal position.

In many studies comes in the past funds appropriated to other agencies for construction of general purpose office space and other structures have been transferred to us and we have purposed the design and construction under the Public Buildings Let, supra, and the applicable recalations and procedures.

tion of cost estimates and understood that design and construction would be performed by us. Therefore, we fait it unnecessary to insist upon a provision so requiring to the authorising or appropriation and.

## Monoreole Percy Represent

Acide from the purely legal position stated above, we feel very strongly as a matter of general policy that, if the Covernment is to have a control against for design and construction of res-cilitary public buildings, such as our Public Buildings Service in SSA, performance of all functions that fall within its source of responsibility should be assigned to it.

Our record shows that we have designed and constructed anny similar parameted purpose office buildings as well as other structures with special features in complete compensation with and to the satisfaction of the occupying agencies.

Economy and difficiency in the Polanti construction can best be achieved with an organization staffed with personnal engapped with the professional qualifications, technical "very-how" and long experience in sepatiation, avaiding, administration and expervision of contracts with exchitects, engineers and constructors for the decipy and construction of public buildings.

Greating frequent special examptions to agreeise for their owe design and construction activities enquestionarly will destroy may effort on our part to achieve uniformity in construction cost and over-all according and efficiency in the openion of general purpose and related office space for the Federal Covernment.

We estimated the sect of this building is accordance with the accordance with the accordance cost standards agreed upon between your office and nine for the lease purchase program.

To proceed with design and construction in the manner proposed by CIA would remove us from control and preclude so from assuring conformity to those standards.

This well sight piace the entire loase purchase program in jeopardy.

Accordingly, if a decision is mode contrary to our position we believe that it would be better to remove us from any further participation in the design and construction of the building rather than the adverse affect on the land purchase process.

An expression of your views will be expreciated.

Continuity yours,

for MINURE P. HAVSIRE
Administrator

The Attorney General
Washington 25 D C

Dear Mr Attorney General: .

The same presented in this letter is the following: Under existing legislation does the authority and reggonsibility to provide a headquarters building for the Central intelligence Agency rest with the Director of Central Intelligence or the Administrator of General Services.

OGC FOIAB5

The legal phases of this matter are dealt with in the attached opinion and memorandum of law.

We had hoped that, in this work, we would benefit from the advice and assistance of GSA while retaining ultimate authority and responsibility and we stand ready today, as we have in the past, to work out an arrangement with GSA along these lines.

Recently, however, we have obtained and studied a copy of a letter from the Administrator of GSA to the Bureau of the Budget, dated August 26, 1955, copy attached, which states in effect that unless their position that, as a matter of law, they have the responsibility for design and construction of the building, they believe it would be better to remove GSA from any further participation.

In a conference which we had on 14 October with the Administrator of General Services, and Mr. Percival F. Brundage, Deputy Director of the Bureau of the Budget, in an effort to find a practical solution to the problem, Mr. Mansure clearly reaffirmed the position stated in the above mentioned letter, and left no alternative but to present to you the problem of law stated above.

000	
OGC	
FOIAB5	

Sacer ly.

Allen W. Julies Director FOIAB5

Next 7 Page(s) In Document Exempt